## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/424,629

Applicant(s)

Simon Foote et al.

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	ersonnel):
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3) Xiao Chun Zhu
(2) Gary Benzion	(4)
Date of Interview Jul 9, 2003	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes e	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed:  All	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
any other comments: Applicant was advised to	ature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or amend claim I and other wing the meaning of the will be any obvious 102 rejection based earth and consideration is
necessary.	Section and another and
overloble a suppose thought be extended.	nents which the examiner agreed would render the claims appy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	te record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMA INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPER already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	P section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has M THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required